1	KELLY A. JOHNSON		
2	Acting Assistant Attorney General BARCLAY T. SAMFORD (NM SBN 12323)		
	Trial Attorney		
3	United States Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Division		
	Suite 945, North Tower		
	999 18th Street		
5	Denver, CO 80202 Telephone: (303) 312-7362		
	Facsimile: (303) 312-7379		
7	Clay.Samford@usdoj.gov		
	BRÍAN C. TOTH (Virginia SBN 48843) Trial Attorney		
8	United States Department of Justice		
	Environment and Natural Resources Division P.O. Box 663		
9	Washington, D.C. 20044-0663		
10	Telephone: (202) 305-0639		
11	Facsimile: (202) 305-0506		
11	Brian.Toth@usdoj.gov		
	KEVIN V. RYAN (SBN 118321)		
	United States Attorney CHARLES M. O'CONNOR (SBN 56320)		
	Assistant United States Attorney		
	Environment & Natural Resources Unit		
15	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102		
13	Telephone: (415) 436-7180		
16	Facsimile: (415) 436-6748		
17	Attorneys for Federal Defendants		
18	UNITED STATES D	ISTRIC	CT COURT
	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF (CALIFORNIA
19	OAKLAND	DIVISI	ON
20	KARUK TRIBE OF CALIFORNIA,)	
2.1	DL:://CC)	Civ. No. 04-4275 (SBA)
21	Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND JOINT
22	v.	j –	REQUEST REGARDING
23	I INITED STATES EQUEST SEDVICE.)	BRIEFING OF DEFENDANTS
23	UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE; MARGARET BOLAND, Forest Supervisor,)	MOTION TO STAY PLAINTIFF'S FEE PETITION
24	Klamath National Forest,	Ć	
25	Federal Defendants,)	
23	rederal Defendants,)	
26	and)	
27	THE NEW 49ers, Inc. and RAYMOND KOONS,)	
		Ú	
28	Defendant-Intervenors.	_)	

Stipulation Regardin	g Briefing	of	Defendants'	Motion	to Stay,	Civ. No.	04-4275	(SB	A)

COME NOW defendants the United States Forest Service ("Forest Service") and Margaret Boland in her official capacity as Forest Supervisor of the Klamath National Forest (collectively, "Federal Defendants") and plaintiff the Karuk Tribe of California ("Plaintiff"), and pursuant to Local Rule 6.2(a) stipulate as follows:

- 1. This action involved challenges to suction dredge mining operations on the Klamath National Forest. On April 22, 2005, the parties entered a stipulation resolving a portion of Plaintiff's claims. [Docket No. 50]. The parties then briefed the merits of Plaintiff's remaining claims. On July 1, 2005, the Court denied Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment. [Docket No. 104]. The Court entered final judgment in favor of Federal Defendants on July 11, 2005. [Docket No. 105]. Plaintiff filed a notice of appeal on September 9, 2005. Plaintiff's appeal is pending.
- 2. On October 7, 2005, Plaintiff filed a petition seeking attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA").
 - 3. Defendants' opposition to Plaintiff's fee petition is currently due January 16, 2006.
- 4. Defendants believe that consideration of Plaintiff's fee petition should be stayed pending the outcome of this matter on appeal, and are filing concurrently a motion requesting such relief.

 Plaintiff opposes Defendants' motion to stay.
- 5. Under the ordinary timing provisions of Local Rules 7-2 and 7-3, Defendants' motion to stay would not be heard until after Defendants' brief in opposition to Plaintiff's fee petition is due. The parties agree that resolution of the Defendants' motion to stay in advance of date Defendants' opposition to Plaintiffs' fee petition is due will save both litigant and judicial resources.
- 6. The parties therefore stipulate, subject to the Court's approval, to brief Defendants' motion to stay Plaintiff's fee petition on the following expedited schedule:

a.	Defendants' Motion to Stay	November 9, 2005
b.	Plaintiff's Opposition	December 5, 2005
c.	Defendant's Reply	December 12, 2005

Case 4:04-cv-04275-SBA Document 119 Filed 11/14/05 Page 3 of 4

1	7. The parties do not request ora	al argument on Defendants' motion to stay, and they agree
2	that, unless the Court deems otherwise, this m	natter may be decided under Local Rule 7-1(b)without
3	oral argument.	
4	8. The parties respectfully ask th	nat the Court expedite its consideration of Defendant's
5	motion to stay.	
6	Respectfully submitted this 9th day of	f November 2005,
7		
110 111 112 113 114 115	/s/ Roger Flynn JAMES R. WHEATON (SBN 115230) IRYNA A. KWASNY (SBN 173518) JOSHUA BORGER (SBN 231951) Environmental Law Foundation Franklin Ave., 9th Floor Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 208-4555 Facsimile: (510) 208-4562 ROGER FLYNN, pro hac vice JEFFREY C. PARSONS, pro hac vice Western Action Mining Project 2260 Baseline Road, Suite 101A Boulder, CO 80302 Telephone: (303) 473-9618 Facsimile: (303) 786-8054 Attorneys for Plaintiff.	KELLY A. JOHNSON Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division /s/Barclay Samford
22 23	THE FOREGOING STIPULATION IS APPROVED AND IS SO ORDERED	
24		1
25	DATED: November 14, 2005	SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
26		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27		
28		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on November 9, 2005, I electronically filed the foregoing STIPULATION REGARDING BRIEFING OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STAY, with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following: Joshua Borger srmeredith@envirolaw.org James Russell Wheaton sarah-rose@thefirstamendment.org Roger Flynn & Jeffrey C. Parson wmap@igc.org Robert Dabney Eastham dabneylaw@sisqtel.net James L. Buchal Counsel@buchal.com, ccaldwell@mbllp.com /s/ Barclay Samford

Stipulation Regarding Briefing of Defendants' Motion to Stay, Civ. No. 04-4275 (SBA)